THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

Williar	n F. Em.	lich, Jr., D.O., et al.,	:	Case No. <u>2:2014-cv-01697</u>		
		Plaintiff(s)	:	District Judge: James L. Graham		
		,	:	Magistrate Judge: Norah McCann King		
		VS.	:			
			:	RULE 26(f) REPORT OF PARTIES		
OhioHealth Corporation, et al.,			:	(to be filed no fewer than seven (7)		
		Defendant(s)	:	days prior to the Rule 16 Conference)		
1.	Durena	nt to FR Civ P 26(f) ar	neeting was held o	n June 5, 2015 and was attended by:		
1.	Pursuant to F.R. Civ.P. 26(f), a meeting was held on <u>June 5, 2015</u> and was attended by:					
	David A. Zika,		, counsel for plaintiff(s) William F. Emlich, Jr., D.O., et al.			
	<u>Jeremy</u>	R. Morris	, counsel for defend	dant(s) OhioHealth Corporation, et al.		
^		A A - D.M de-to-stee To-d	Th			
2.	Conse	nt to Magistrate Judge.	The parties:			
		unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to				
		28 U.S.C. 636 (c).				
		,				
	<u>X</u>			ion of the United States Magistrate Judge		
		pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 63	36 (c).			
2	T.,:4: a1	Disalogues The portion				
3. Initial Disclosures. The parties:						
		have exchanged the initial disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1);				
		nave exchanged the initial discretization required by reside = 5(0)(-);				
	_X					
		Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment regarding HCQIA immunity and consistent				
		with any parameters the Court may place on such discovery at that time.				
		are exempt from such disclosures under Rule 26(a)(1)(E).				
		are exempt from such disclosures under Rule 20(a)(1)(L).				
		have agreed not to make initial disclosures.				
		C				
4.	Jurisd	Jurisdiction and Venue				
	_	Denniha any aontagtad	iaguag palating to: ((1) subject metter jurisdiction (2) personal		
	a. Describe any contested issues relating to: (1) subject matter jurisdiction, (2) person jurisdiction and/or (3) venue:					
		jurisdiction and/or (3) v	ende.			
	None at this time.					

b.

Describe the discovery, if any, that will be necessary to the resolution of issues related to

		jurisdiction and venue:			
		N/A			
	c.	Recommended date for filing motions addressing jurisdiction and/or venue:			
		No such issues are known at this time, but the parties will attempt to file any such			
		motions within 30 days following identification of any issue related to jurisdiction and/or venue.			
5.	Ame	ndments to Pleading and/or Joinder of Parties			
	a.	Recommended date for filing motion/stipulation to amend the pleadings or to add additional parties:			
		Within 60 days following the Court's decision on the Defendants' Motion for Summary			
		Judgment regarding HCQIA immunity.			
	b.	If class action, recommended date for filing motion to certify the class: N/A			
6.	Reco	commended Discovery Plan			
	a.	Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature and extent of discovery that each party will need:			
		Should discovery proceed in this matter following the Court's decision on the			
		Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment regarding HCQIA immunity, Defendants			
		anticipate seeking discovery regarding the factual allegations raised in the Plaintiffs'			
		complaint, the legal defenses in the Defendants' answer, and the Plaintiffs' claims for			
		damages.			
		Plaintiffs anticipate seeking discovery related to the allegations contained in the			
		Plaintiffs' complaint, specifically Plaintiffs will also seek discovery of matters that are			
		not already contained in the administrative record such as any potential distinctions			
		between employed physicians and non-employed physicians.			
	b.	What changes should be made, if any, in the limitations on discovery imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the local rules of this Court?			
		Pursuant to the Court's Opinion and Order dated April 15, 2015, discovery is currently			
		limited to the four HCQIA immunity factors found in 42 U.S.C. § 11112(a).			
		Additionally following the Court's decision on the Defendants' Motion for Summary			

8456605v2 2

	Judgment regarding HCQIA immunity, Defendants' may potentially seek to further limit			
	discovery to those issues that are relevant to the remaining claims, if any.			
	Plaintiffs believe it might be beneficial to expand written discovery for purposes of			
	determining the appropriate parties to this action.			
c.	The case presents the following issues relating to disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information , including the form or forms in which it should be produced:			
	Defendants do not anticipate any potential issues at this time.			
	Plaintiffs anticipate that it is possible Dr. Emlich's pager capabilities during the relevant			
	time period may be at issue and might potentially involve electronically stored			
	information.			
d.	The case presents the following issues relating to claims of privilege or of protection as trial preparation materials :			
	This case will present issues related to Ohio's peer review privilege as codified in Ohio			
	Revised Code §§ 2305.25 et seq. This case may also present issues related to the			
	confidentiality of medical records of non-parties. This case may also present issues			
	related to Plaintiffs' assertion that counsel for Defendants may be a witness in this matter.			
	i. Have the parties agreed on a procedure to assert such claims AFTER production?			
	X No			
	Yes			
	Yes, and the parties ask that the Court include their agreement in an Order.			
e.	Identify the discovery, if any, that can be deferred pending settlement discussion and/or resolution of potentially dispositive motions:			
	Pursuant to the Court's Opinion and Order dated April 15, 2015, discovery not related to			
	the four HCQIA immunity factors found in 42 U.S.C. § 11112(a) is being deferred until			
	after the Court's decision regarding Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment			
	regarding HCQIA Immunity. Any other discovery the parties identify as being			
	appropriate to be deferred following the Court's decision regarding Defendants' Motion			
	for Summary Judgment regarding HCQIA immunity may be presented to the Court at			
	that time.			

8456605v2 3

f.	The parties recommend that discovery should proceed in phases , as follows:				
	Please see 6(e), above.				

g. Describe the areas in which **expert testimony** is expected and indicate whether each expert will be specially retained within the meaning of F.R.Civ.P.26(a)(2):

Should expert discovery be necessary following the Court's decision on the Defendants'

Motion for Summary Judgment regarding HCQIA immunity, Plaintiffs anticipate

potentially seeking expert discovery regarding clinical quality of medical care,
accounting, and appropriate peer review procedures.

Defendants would anticipate seeking expert discovery on any expert issues raised by Plaintiffs.

i. Recommended date for making **primary expert designations**:

Should expert discovery be necessary following the Court's decision on the Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment regarding HCQIA immunity, within 120 days of the Court's Order.

ii. Recommended date for making rebuttal expert designations:
 Should expert discovery be necessary, within 120 days of the Plaintiffs' primary expert designation.

h. Recommended discovery **completion date**:

Subject to the Court's Order regarding Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment regarding HCQlA immunity, the parties anticipate needing one year following the Court's Order should further discovery be necessary.

7. **Dispositive Motion(s)**

a. Recommended date for filing dispositive motions:

Defendants intend to file a Motion for Summary Judgment regarding HCQIA Immunity imminently. However, should the case proceed beyond the Court's decision regarding such motion, dispositive motions regarding the remaining issues should be filed within 90 days following the completion of discovery.

4

8.	Settlen	nent Discussions				
	a.	Has a settlement demand been made?	de? No A response? N/A			
	b.	Date by which a settlement demand can	be made:			
		· ·	n regarding Defendants' Motion for Summary			
	c.	Date by which a response can be made:				
	Within 60 days of receipt of settlement demand.					
9.	Settlement Week Referral					
	The earliest Settlement Week referral reasonably likely to be productive is the					
	March 20 Settlement Week					
		June 20 Settlement Week				
		September 20 Settlement Week				
	<u>X</u>	X December 2015 Settlement Week				
10.	Other matters for the attention of the Court:					
	None known at this time.					
a.						
Signat						
Attorn	ey(s) for	· Plaintiff(s):	Attorney(s) for Defendant(s):			
<u>/s/ Day</u>	<u>id Zika</u>	(per email approval)	/s/James J. Hughes			
Ohio Bar# <u>0085732</u>			Ohio Bar# <u>0036754</u>			
Trial Attorney for Plaintiffs			Trial Attorney for Defendants			

8456605v2 5